FIREARMS DIVISION P.O. BOX 160487 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-0487

August 04, 2005

RE: Harrott v. County of Kines

Dear

This correspondence is in response to your letter dated May 13th, 2005 in which you posed three questions regarding Harrott v. County of Kings and "series" assault weapons.

Your first question was about the effect of the Harrott decision on California assault weapons law. In Harrott, the California Supreme Court upheld the Attorney General's authority to identify series assault weapons pursuant to Penal Code (PC) section 12276(e), but held that such firearms must first be included in the list of series assault weapons promulgated by the Attorney General pursuant to section 12276.5(h), before they are considered "assault weapons" under California law. Since the Harrot decision, the Attorney General's Office has canied out its legal authority by identifying assault weapons and periodically publishing the "Assault Weapons Identification Guide."

Your second question was whether the receiver of a semiautomatic rifle could be an "assault weapon" if it does not have any of the characteristics specified in PC section 12276.1 and it is not listed in PC section 12276. The answer is "yes". As affirmed in Harrott, the receiver of a semiautomatic rifle would be considered an "assault weapon" if it were specifically listed by the Department of Justice in the California Code of Regulations (CCR), as authorized under PC 12276.5(h).

Your final question was whether it is lawful under California law to purchase a stripped DSA "ZM4" receiver. The DSA "ZM4" is not listed in PC Section 12276 nor is it listed in CCR Section 979.11 (Title 11, Division I, Chapter 12.9). Therefore, assuming it does not meet the characteristics criteria specified in PC section 12276.1 (such as a

Adoo

August 4, 2005 Page 2

"pistol grip that protrudes conspicuously beneath the action of the weapon"), it could lawfully be purchased (or possessed) in California. If it did meet the characteristics criteria, it would be a probibited assault weapon per PC section 12276.1(a)(1).

You should be aware that all DSA receivers, including the ZM4, will soon be added to the list of weapons that are considered "assault weapons" under California law, After the list is published, owners will have 90 days to register their firearms, pursuant to PC 12285.

If you have any additional questions regarding this issue, please feel free to contact me at

Sincerely,

Deputy Attorney General

Firearms Division

Firearms division P.O. Box 160487 Sacramento, ca 95816-0487

July 8, 2004

Mr. Chuck Michel 407 North Harbor Boulevard Sau Pedro, California 90731

Re: CALDOJ-052504-CARI5: -DPMS: -SAIGA: -Benelli M1014

Dear Mr. Michel:

This letter responds to your four (4) letters dated June 14, 2004, regarding determinations by the California Department of Justice of the "assault weapons status" of four firearms: the DPMS A15 rifle; the SAIGA rifle; the CAR-15, and the Bencili M1014 shotgun. The Attorney General appreciates your inquiry and will be reviewing the firearms to determine if they are indeed series firearms of the Colt AR-15, AK-47, or are otherwise assault weapons within the meaning of the California Penal Code.

TIM RIEGER

Deputy Attorney Géneral

TR/Idm

P.O. BOX 160487 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-0487

May 5, 2005

Re: HAR-25, serial number R1350

Dear Mr

Your exemplar I received on May 2, 2005, has been examined by our staff and has been classified as a non-series design firearm. Your fixed magazine design removes your exemplar from any prohibitions related to AR-15 Stoner design weapons that are enumerated in California Penal Code section 12276(e) & (f) and prohibited by 12280(a)(1) & (b). Please ensure that all following production of this receiver conforms with the exemplar we have in evidence.

Sincerely,

IGNATIUS CHINN Special Agent Supervisor

Firearms Division

For

BILL LOCKYER Attorney General

IC/ls

P.O. BOX 160487 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-0487

April 20, 2005

Re: " V15 serial number P7573

Dear Mr.

Your latest exemplar/modifications are in compliance with our suggested changes as compared to your previous exemplar. With these changes, we have noted that your design deviates from the original AR-15 design to a degree that is no longer considered a series weapon. Thus, it is legal to sell to the civilian population in California.

Sincerely,

IGNATIUS CHINN Special Agent Supervisor Firearms Division

BILL LOCKYER For Attorney General

IC/ls



FTREARMS DIVISION
P.O. BOX 320200
SACRAMENT: 94203-0200

April 7, 2004

Re: Legality of Proposed Homemade Stoner AR-15 Receiver

Dear Mr.

Thank you for your letter regarding your proposed homemade AR-15 receiver. In your letter you cite California Penai Code section 12276.1 regarding assault weapons defined by characteristics. Unfortunately, the receiver your exhibit depicts is an AR-15, without significant variation. This firearm would be a "series" assault weapon as defined by Penal Code section 12276, subdivisions (c) and (f). Other designs which have been approved by the Department of Justice include a receiver such as yours without the magazine well milled open. In other words, the magazine was built into the receiver and the rounds are loaded similar to an M-1 Garand. In addition, a single shot version of the AR-15 receiver has been approved. Your design is an assault weapon within the meaning of California law.

Sincerely,

TIM RIEGER, Deputy Attorney General

Firearms Division

For BILL LOCKYER Attorney General

TR:Is

distant Court in

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Pire A Color of Transcript P.C. Beg. 160467 Suppression, Ca. 20016-6487

December 5, 2005

Reduced City, CA 5405

Desir Wir.

You are taking about the legality of purchasing and presenting a Sing-15 lower receives in California.

The Stag-15 is not lated as a Category I assent rife in California Penal Code section. 12276. Although technically the receiver is legal to purchase and possess in California at this time (assuming it does not have the characteristics listed in Penal Code section 12276. If april (april), or (apply, you should be aware that the Stag-15 lower modifier is virtually identical to either that are now listed as assent weapons by the Department, and is likely to be considered as assent weapon in the near finure.

If you have any funder questions, please do not becide indocursel no at 916-263-4887.

Šioperuly.

LISA STRANCE, AGNISA

Firems Division

For BILL LOCKYER

Atloney Gesend

State of California DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



FIREARMS DIVISION
P.O. Box 160487
Sacromento, CA 95816-0487
Facsimile: (916) 263-0676

September 27, 2005

Healdsberg, CA 95448 .

Re: JP Rifles CTR-02

Dear

I am writing in response to your letter to Tim Rieger dated September 20, 2005. You asked about the legality of purchasing and possessing a IP Rifles CTR-02 in California. As you pointed out in your letter, that particular make and model is not histed as an assault weapon in the list promulgated by the Department in response to the Harrot v. County of Kings case.

As long as the rifle does not have the characteristics listed in Penal Code section 12276.1(a)(1), (a)(2) or (a)(3), it is legal to purchase and possess in California. You should be aware, however, that the IP Rifles CTR-02 is virtually identical to rifles that are now listed as assault weapons by the Department, and may be considered an assault weapon in the near future.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,

ALISON MERRILEES
Deputy Attorney General

Firearms Division

For BILL LOCKYER

Attorney General